

















2021-2024

NAME OF POLICY:		FEDERATION	FEDERATION		POLICY NUMBER:	
Federation N Examination Policy (NEA Assessment	n Assessmen s) – Risk	ıt				
EFFECTIVE	EFFECTIVE DATE: RATIFIED BY MC		*REVIEW DATE BY SLT: KER Oct 2023			
NOV 2022			REVIEW DAT	E OF PO	LICY BY MC:	
AUTHOR OF POLICY:			PERSON(S) R DATING:	RESPON	SIBLE FOR REV	/IEWING/UP
LW/CG			LW/CG			
DATE OF CHANGE	AUTHOR OF CHANGE	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION OF CHANGES		PAGE NUMBER OF CHANGES	NEW REVIEW DATE*
04/05/2022	LW/CG	Headteacher char	eadteacher changed to Head of School		All	October 2022
04/05/2022	LW/CG	Issuing of Tasks - removed	ssuing of Tasks – legacy specifications emoved		2	October 2022
			<u> </u>			
				-		

Federation Non-Examination Assessment Policy (NEAs) - Risk Assessment

Issue/Risk	Issue/Risk The Federation actions to manage issue/mitigate risk	
Task setting		
Awarding body set task: IT failure/corruption of task details where set task details accessed from the awarding Awarding body key date for accessing/downloading set task prior to start of course IT systems checked prior to key date Alternative IT system used to gain access		Subject Lead IT Support EO
body online	Awarding body contacted to request direct email of task details	20
The Federation set task: Subject teacher fails to meet the assessment criteria as detailed in the specification	Ensures that subject teachers access awarding body training information, practice materials etc. Records confirmation that subject teachers understand the task setting arrangements as defined in the awarding body's specification Samples assessment criteria in the Federation set task	Subject lead
Candidates do not understand the marking criteria and what they need to do to gain credit	A simplified version of the awarding body's marking criteria described in the specification that is not specific to the work of an individual candidate or group of candidates is produced for candidates Records confirm all candidates understand the marking criteria Candidates confirm/record they understand the marking criteria	Subject lead
Subject teacher long term absence during the task setting stage	See the Federation's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Head of School
Issuing of tasks		T
Awarding body set task not issued to candidates on time	Awarding body key date for accessing set task as detailed in the specification noted prior to start of course Course information issued to candidates contains details when set task will be issued and needs to be completed by	Subject lead
	Set task accessed well in advance to allow time for planning, resourcing and teaching	
The wrong task is given to candidates	Ensures course planning and information taken from the awarding body's specification confirms the correct task will be issued to candidates Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains unresolved	Subject lead
Subject teacher long term absence during the issuing of tasks stage	See the Federation's exam contingency plan - Teaching staff extended absence at key points in the exam cycle	Head of School
Task taking		
Supervision		
Planned assessments clash with other the Federation or candidate activities	Assessment plan identified for the start of the course Assessment dates/periods included in the Federation wide calendar	Subject lead SLT
Rooms or facilities inadequate for candidates to take tasks under appropriate supervision Timetabling organised to allocate appropriate rooms and IT facilities for the start of the course Staggered sessions arranged where IT facilities insufficient for number of candidates Whole cohort to undertake written task in large exam venue at the same time (exam conditions do not apply)		Subject lead SLT
Insufficient supervision of candidates to enable work to be authenticated	Confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments and any other specific instructions detailed in the awarding body's specification in relation to the supervision of candidates Confirm subject teachers understand their role and responsibilities as detailed in the Federation's non-examination assessment policy	Subject lead

A candidate is suspected of malpractice prior to submitting their work for assessment	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed An internal investigation and where appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Subject Leaders SLT
Access arrangements were not put in place for an assessment where a candidate is approved for arrangements	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for the candidate	EO
Advice and feedback		
Candidate claims appropriate advice and feedback not given by subject teacher prior to starting on their work	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all information provided to candidates before work begins as part of the centre's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all information and advice given to candidates prior to starting on their work as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given prior to starting on their work	Subject lead
Candidate claims no advice and feedback given by subject teacher during the task-taking stage	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to record all advice and feedback provided to candidates during the task-taking stage as part of the Federation's quality assurance procedures Regular monitoring of subject teacher completed records and sign-off to confirm monitoring activity Full records kept detailing all advice and feedback given to candidates during the task-taking stage as appropriate to the subject and component Candidate confirms/records advice and feedback given during the task-taking stage	Subject lead
A third party claims that assistance was given to candidates by the subject teacher over and above that allowed in the regulations and specification	An investigation is conducted; candidates and subject teacher are interviewed and statements recorded where relevant Records as detailed above are provided to confirm all assistance given Where appropriate, a suspected malpractice report is submitted to the awarding body	Subject lead EO Head of School
Candidate does not reference information from published source	Candidate is advised at a general level to reference information before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject lead
Candidate does not set out references as required	Candidate is advised at a general level to review and re-draft the set out of references before work is submitted for formal assessment Candidate is again referred to the JCQ document Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is regularly checked to ensure continued completion	Subject lead
Candidate joins the course late after formally supervised task taking has started	A separate supervised session(s) is arranged for the candidate to catch up	Subject lead
Candidate moves to another centre during the course	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine what can be done depending on the stage at which the move takes place	EO
An excluded pupil wants to complete his/her non-examination assessment(s)	The awarding body specification is checked to determine if the specification is available to a candidate outside mainstream education If so, arrangements for supervision, authentication and marking are made separately for the candidate	EO

Posourcos		
Resources A candidate augments notes and resources between	Preparatory notes and the work to be assessed are collected in	Cubicat land
formally supervised sessions	and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where memory sticks are used by candidates, these are collected	Subject lead IT Tech
	in and kept secure between formally supervised sessions Where work is stored on the Federation's network, access for	
	candidates is restricted between formally supervised sessions	
A candidate fails to acknowledge sources on work	Candidate's detailed record of his/her own research, planning, resources etc. is checked to confirm all the sources used,	Subject lead
that is submitted for	including books, websites and audio/visual resources	- Gabjeot lead
assessment	Awarding body guidance is sought on whether the work of the candidate should be marked where candidate's detailed records	
	acknowledges sources appropriately	
	Where confirmation is unavailable from candidate's records,	
	awarding body guidance is sought and/or a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Word and time limits	Submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
A candidate is penalised by	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
the awarding body for	checked to determine if word or time limits are mandatory	Subject lead
exceeding word or time limits	Where limits are for guidance only, candidates are discouraged	
	from exceeding them Candidates confirm/record any information provided to them on	
	word or time limits is known and understood	
Collaboration and group work		
Candidates have worked in	Records confirm the awarding body specification has been	
groups where the awarding	checked to determine if group work is permitted	Subject lead
body specification states this is	Awarding body guidance sought where this issue remains	
not permitted Authentication procedures	unresolved	
A teacher has doubts about	Records confirm subject staff have been made aware of the JCQ	
the authenticity of the work	document Teachers sharing assessment material and candidates'	Subject lead
submitted by a candidate for	work	·
internal assessment	Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	EO
Candidata plagiariasa athar	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non- examination assessments	Head of School
Candidate plagiarises other material	Candidates confirm/record that they understand what they need to	nead of School
material	do to comply with the regulations for non-examination	
	assessments as outlined in the JCQ document Information for	
	candidates: non-examination assessments	
	The candidate's work is not accepted for assessment	
Candidate does not sign their	A mark of zero is recorded and submitted to the awarding body Records confirm that candidates have been issued with the	
authentication	current JCQ document Information for candidates: non-	Subject lead
statement/declaration	examination assessments	•
	Candidates confirm/record they understand what they need to do	
	to comply with the regulations as outlined in the JCQ document	
	Information for candidates: non-examination assessments Declaration is checked for signature before accepting the work of	
	a candidate for formal assessment	
Subject teacher not available	Ensures a centre-wide process is in place for subject teachers to	
to sign authentication forms	sign authentication forms at the point of marking candidates work	Subject lead
	as part of the Federation's quality assurance procedures	
Presentation of work	Cover shoot is shooted to success it is fall, as well at the fall	
Candidate does not fully complete the awarding body's	Cover sheet is checked to ensure it is fully completed before accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessment	Subject lead
cover sheet that is attached to	accepting the work of a candidate for formal assessinent	Jubject lead
their worked submitted for		
formal assessment		
Keeping materials secure		
Candidates work between	Records confirm subject teachers are aware of and follow current	
formal supervised sessions is	JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination	Subject lead
not securely stored	assessments	<u> </u>

	Regular monitoring ensures subject teacher use of appropriate secure storage	
Adequate secure storage not available to subject teacher	Records confirm adequate/sufficient secure storage is available to subject teacher prior to the start of the course Alternative secure storage sourced where required	Subject lead
Task marking - externally ass	essed components	
A candidate is absent on the day of the examiner visit for an acceptable reason	Awarding body guidance is sought to determine if alternative assessment arrangements can be made for the candidate If not, eligibility for special consideration is explored and a request submitted to the awarding body where appropriate	Subject lead EO
	The candidate is marked absent on the attendance register	Subject lead EO
Task marking – internally asse	essed components	
A candidate submits little or no work	Where a candidate submits no work, the candidate is recorded as absent when marks are submitted to the awarding body Where a candidate submits little work, the work produced is assessed against the assessment criteria and a mark allocated appropriately; where the work does not meet any of the assessment criteria a mark of zero is submitted to the awarding body	Subject lead
A candidate is unable to finish their work for unforeseen reason	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for shortfall in work	Subject lead EO
The work of a candidate is lost or damaged	Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed for lost or damaged work	Subject lead EO
Candidate malpractice is discovered	Instructions and processes in the current JCQ publication Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments (chapter 9 Malpractice) are followed	Subject lead
	Investigation and reporting procedures in the current JCQ publication Suspected Malpractice in Examinations and	Exams Officer
	Assessments are followed Appropriate internal disciplinary procedures are also followed	Head of School
A teacher marks the work of his/her own child	A conflict of interest is declared by informing the awarding body that a teacher is teaching his/her own child at the start of the course Marked work of said child is submitted for moderation whether part of the sample requested or not	Subject lead EO
An extension to the deadline for submission of marks is required for a legitimate reason	Awarding body is contacted to determine if an extension can be granted Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 5), to determine	Subject lead
	eligibility and the process to be followed for non-examination assessment extension	
After submission of marks, it is discovered that the wrong task was given to candidates	Awarding body is contacted for guidance Relevant staff are signposted to the JCQ publication A guide to the special consideration process (chapter 2), to determine eligibility and the process to be followed to apply for special consideration for candidates	Subject lead EO

A candidate wishes to appeal	Candidates are informed of the marks they have been awarded	
the marks awarded for their work by their teacher	for their work prior to the marks being submitted to the awarding body	Subject lead
	Records confirm candidates have been informed of their marks	Exams Officer
	Candidates are informed that these marks are subject to change through the awarding body's moderation process	Head of School
	Candidates are informed of their marks at least two weeks prior to	
	the internal deadline set by the exams officer for the submission of marks	
	Through the school website, candidates are made aware of the	
	Federation's internal appeals procedures and timescale for	
	submitting an appeal prior to the submission of marks to the awarding body	
Deadline for submitting work	Records confirm deadlines given and understood by candidates at	
for formal assessment not met by candidate	the start of the course Candidates confirm/record deadlines known and understood	Subject lead
by carididate	Depending on the circumstances, awarding body guidance sought	Exams Officer
	to determine if the work can be accepted late for marking	
	providing the awarding body's deadline for submitting marks can be met	Head of School
	Decision made (depending on the circumstances) if the work will	
	be accepted late for marking or a mark of zero submitted to the awarding body for the candidate	
Deadline for submitting marks	Internal/external deadlines are published on the school website.	Subject lead
and samples of candidates work ignored by subject	Reminders are issued periodically throughout the year. Where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	Exams Officer Head of School
teacher	where appropriate, internal disciplinary procedures are followed	TIGAL OF SCHOOL
Subject teacher long term	See Federation's exam contingency plan (Teaching staff extended	
absence during the marking period	absence at key points in the exam cycle)	Head of School